5/3/67

Dear Sylvia,

Just returned. Seems that the more I get done the more I have yet to do. Every day I'm farther behind. I worked with them all the time I was there. I have no doubt about the sincerity or integrity of Garrison or any of his staff, and I had something to do with many of them. They are convinced of Cuban-FBI-CIA involvement, as my own work proves. They believe all of the appearances in New Orleans were of the real Oswald, and here I disagree. These are the most dedicated kind of people, and they really work. Mark and I dined with Garrison and one of his assistants until close to midnight 4/27. It was a kind of working session. I said little with Mark there, restricting myself almost entirely to what I had published. I worked with G until one a.m. Sunday and Monday nights. He seems to keep going on Metrocal at the office.

Their work has been extensive, and they have elaborate details and a number of confessions, but, naturally, not of the assassination. They have some really exciting information. And they have great opposition, interference with witnesses, apparent intimidation, etc., to cope with.

I'll be in New York around 5/12 and maybe we can talk then. I'm helping them in every way I can and I think I have helped them materially already.

They will be calling on more of us. They are having Ray there on the bullet (I was before the grand jury for three hours. It is one of the remarkable wonderful accidents in our history, it is that good a grand jury).

Before Mark spoke before the junior bar association, he tells me, they gave him a letter prohibiting comment on the evidence, etc. Imagine that they had to. There was universal disapproval of what he did, including from G's staff. LIFE seems to be developing a genuine interest. Dick's work may be important and helpful. I expect them to call upon him. I urged it and gave them copies of all he had sent me.

At the moment, my single serious complaint is that Garrison is too trusting and is dealing with those he does not know, takes at face value, and who may and I believe will hurt him. He is a tremenduously serious and dedicated man. They were kind to me.

Re Ockene request: Lane asked Fawcett and they told him Roberts is subsidized. Proof is important.

If my opinion is worth anything, pass it to the others when you are in touch. I'll be too busy.

Yours,